

Progress Report

- Two major pieces for your review:
- A spreadsheet tracking every Related Action, by Objective
- A report with a summary for each Objective

Contents

- Purpose
- Value
- Summaries
 - One-page overview
 - 13 single pages, 1 per Objective
- Findings
- Method
- Methodological Difficulties
- Recommendations
- Appendices

Purpose

- Answer the question:
- Is the Water Plan being implemented?
 - Cannot mandate its recommendations
 - Significant resources go into the Water Plan.
- If not, why not?

Caveats

- A statewide evaluation, but regions vary.
- Written to draw feedback. Where you can provide additional information, please do.
- Doesn't necessarily establish a longitudinal baseline.
- Doesn't measure the effect of collaboration on Water Plan participants

Value of Doing the Progress Report

- Illuminates where progress is happening and where it isn't.
- Makes people look closely at the Update 2009 Implementation Plan.
- We said we would.

Overview of Progress

Update 2009 Objective	Status	Trend
1 – Integrated Regional Water Management	Good	Neutral
2 – Water Use Efficiency	Requires Attention	Good
3 – Conjunctive Management	Requires Attention	Good
4 – Water Quality	Requires Attention	Good
5 – Environmental Stewardship	Requires Attention	Neutral
6 – Flood	Good	Good
7 – Delta	Good	Good
8 – Emergency Response	Neutral	Requires Attention
9 – Energy	Neutral	Neutral
10 – Data	Good	Good
11 – Technology	Good	Good
12 – Tribal	Neutral	Requires Attention
13 – Ensuring Equitable Distribution of Benefits	(in progress)	(in progress)

Single Page Summary

- Progress
- Successful Actions
- Delayed Actions
- Prominent Barriers

Findings

- Actions that the State told its own agencies to do could get done quickly.
- Actions of local and regional governments couldn't be tracked.
- The progress on Land Use actions couldn't be effectively tracked
- The Implementation Plan of Update 2009 was not written to be measured.

Method

- Developed the data gathering tools and gathered data simultaneously.
- Held workshops for feedback.
- Selected aggregation method.
 - A count of No or Slow Progress compared to a count of Good or Excellent Progress.

Difficulties

- The Objectives weren't written to be measured.
 - Multiple actions applied to multiple targets makes for a matrix of potential actions.
 - Actions that are meant to be implemented by 2050 can be hard to assess only 3 years in.
- Agencies have revised the plans the Related Actions came from. They don't want progress assessed on goals they are no longer trying to meet.

Recommendations for Update 2013

- If tracking progress is important, write Objectives with that in mind.
- Separate strategic or visionary actions from measurable ones.
- Use the evaluators' commentary to refine Objectives and Related Actions.

Appendices

- Original data in spreadsheets.
- List of contributors.

Next Steps

- Incorporate your comments and feedback.
- Release final Progress Report.
- Mfidell@water.ca.gov